

THE GAMES

BINGO

For the tenth consecutive year, wagering on bingo in Nebraska declined. Bingo gross receipts for the reporting period were \$16,558,843, bingo's lowest wagering total in 16 years. Bingo gross receipts were down 0.76 percent from the previous reporting period.

Class I bingo receipts at \$3,557,570 were down this reporting period by 22.26 percent from the previous reporting period. This coincides with a decrease in the number of organizations holding a Class I bingo license (131 this period as compared to 152 last year). However, at least \$912,165 of the Class I decrease is a result of the shifting or upgrading of 8 Class I bingo organizations to a Class II license. The change of license classification is the result of the passage of LB545 in 2002, which lowered the annual gross receipts threshold for a Class II bingo license from \$150,000 to \$100,000. Thus, the number of Class II bingo licensees jumped to 37 this period (as compared to 30 last year) and conjointly, the Class II bingo receipts for the reporting period totaled, \$13,001,273, increased by 7.36 percent over the previous reporting period. Although bingo suffered a 4.1 percent decrease in attendance when compared to last year, the average player spend actually increased from \$23.42 to \$24.23.


Class I bingo showed a decrease in actual income this reporting period as compared to last year while Class II bingo had an increase in income over last year. In fact, Class I bingo licensees reported a decrease from last year in gross receipts, prizes paid, bingo expenses, taxes, and profit this fiscal year. At least part of these decreases are attributable to the passage of LB545 mentioned above, and also partially explain why Class II bingo licensees had an increase in gross receipts, prizes paid, bingo expenses, taxes, and profit this fiscal year over last year. Additionally, the increase in Class II bingo net profit is, in all likelihood, attributable to the use of bingo card monitoring devices. A bingo card monitoring device is a technological aid used by a bingo player to monitor up to 72 bingo cards per game. The Department believes that the use of bingo card monitoring devices has saved many Class II bingo operations from having to cease operation altogether.

Another significant statistic to note in the bingo area during this reporting period is that for the fifth time since the Charitable Gaming Division started publishing annual reports (1990), Class II bingo actually showed a net profit. (Typically bingo acts as a loss leader for an organization's pickle card sales at the bingo occasion.) As suggested by the earlier statistics, the Class I bingo profit percentage decreased from 15.48 percent last year to 13.99 percent this year and Class II bingo profit percentage increased from 2.27 percent to 2.48 percent this year. This is the fourth annual report without any local bingo tax on these receipts due to legislative change effective January 1, 1999, which eliminated the local bingo tax of 1 percent of the gross receipts.


Although the figures on this page do not include attendance, wagering, prizes, or expenses for Special Event Bingo, it is noteworthy to mention its existence. Special Event Bingo is a charitable gaming option that became available in October of 1994, which allows an organization to forego the requirement of obtaining a regular bingo license if it wants to conduct bingo only once or twice a year at a special function, such as a county fair or school fun night. As organizations have become more familiar with Special Event Bingo, many have dropped their Class I bingo licenses, obtaining a Special Event Bingo permit instead. Special Event Bingo is exempt from the tax, record keeping, and reporting requirement in place for Class I and Class II bingo licensees. However, it appears that the number of Special Event Bingo permits issued during this reporting period, 87, is also now declining as it was 4.4 percent less than the number issued last year.

	CLASS I	CLASS II	TOTAL
Bingo Gross Receipts	\$3,557,570	\$13,001,273	\$16,558,843
Prizes	2,688,472	9,330,030	12,010,129
Expenses	264,860	2,959,253	3,260,436
Taxes	106,727	390,038	496,765
Profit	\$ 497,511	\$ 321,952	\$ 791,513

Class I



Class II



Number of Occasions Conducted	10,660
Attendance Reported	683,346
Average Player Spend Per Occasion	\$24.23*

*Does not include player spend on pickle cards sold at the bingo occasions.

PICKLE CARDS


As has been the case for the past eight reporting periods, total pickle card sales in Nebraska declined during this reporting period, totaling \$62,559,488. This is a 8.41 percent decrease compared to the previous reporting period, and marked the fifth consecutive year that pickle card sales failed to reach \$100,000,000. Overall, pickle card sales are down 64.73 percent from their peak during the 1994 reporting period when sales hit \$177,359,919. Conjointly, the number of pickle card units sold during the reporting period also declined (down 8.02 percent) with only 30,572 units reported sold as compared to 33,239 for the previous reporting period.

Class I licensees, those selling pickle cards only at their designated premises (club facility) and bingo occasions, reported a decrease in sales of 7.29 percent, falling from \$13,306,502 last year to \$12,336,954 this year. For the same period, Class II licensees, those selling primarily through pickle card operators, had a similar decrease in sales of 8.68 percent, dropping from \$54,996,022 last year to \$50,222,534 this year. The number of organizations licensed to conduct a lottery by the sale of pickle cards also decreased again this reporting period (down from 575 to 563), with decreases in both Class I and Class II licensees.

A majority of the pickle cards sold in Nebraska continue to be through pickle card operators (see pie chart on the right below), although there was a slight increase in that percentage this reporting period (up from 73 percent to almost 74 percent). For the first time in eight years, the number of licensed pickle card operators increased slightly, going from 1,250 last year to 1,263 this year. However, the number of pickle card dispensing devices registered during the reporting period declined from last year, dropping by 7.05 percent (1,371 devices registered this period as compared to 1,475 for the same period last year).

No significant changes were seen this reporting period as compared to last with respect to the percentage distribution of pickle card gross proceeds for both Class I and Class II licensees (see pair of pie charts on the left below).

	CLASS I	CLASS II	TOTAL
Pickle Card Gross Proceeds	\$12,336,954	\$50,222,534	\$62,559,488
Prizes	9,226,243	38,059,553	47,285,796
Operator Commissions	N/A	3,337,218	3,337,218
Expenses	793,489	2,526,916	3,320,405
Taxes	311,071	1,215,958	1,527,029
Profit	\$ 2,006,151	\$ 5,082,889	\$ 7,089,040



Pickle Card Dispensing Devices Registered	1,371
Number of Pickle Card Units Sold	30,572

* The statutory pickle card tax rate is ten percent of definite profit, which translates to approximately 2.44 percent of gross proceeds.

** The statutory maximum allowed for a pickle card operator commission is 30 percent of definite profit, which translates to approximately 7 percent of gross proceeds.

LOTTERIES AND RAFFLES

Lottery/raffle gross proceeds have reached a new high at \$5,168,480. The lottery/raffle combined gross proceeds represent an increase of almost 13.28 percent when compared to the same period last year. Last year's proceeds had also set a new record at \$4,562,701; a 7.65 percent increase over the previous period. In five earlier periods (the years ended between 1995 and 1999), gross proceeds had held fairly steady between the 3 and 3.5 million dollar mark. Separating the two activities, the sale of lottery tickets (primarily cash prize drawing) increased 3.78 percent while the sale of raffle tickets (primarily merchandise prize drawing) jumped 35.27 percent. We caution, however, that due to the relatively small volume of lottery/raffle activity in comparison to the other charitable gaming activities, one or two large lotteries or raffles per reporting period can have a significant impact on the percent of increase or decrease for this program.



The total number of lottery/raffle licensees increased by more than 12 percent during this reporting period (296 this period compared to 264 last year). Most of the increase in licenses may be attributable to increased awareness and compliance with licensing requirements through enhanced educational efforts by the Department of the organizations that are subject to licensing.

This is the fifth year we have illustrated the percentage of dollars spent on lottery versus raffle tickets as reflected in the pie chart on the right below. This pie chart clearly demonstrates, at least with respect to licensed lotteries and raffles, drawings for cash or primarily cash prizes are far more prevalent than drawings for merchandise prizes.

The percentage distributions of the lottery/raffle gross proceeds for this reporting period, as illustrated in the pie chart on the left below, are unchanged from last year's percentages. Thus, profits remained at 54 percent this year.

It should be noted that the figures on this page represent only lotteries with gross proceeds (ticket sales) exceeding \$1,000 and raffles with gross proceeds exceeding \$5,000. Nonprofit organizations conducting lotteries or raffles with gross proceeds equal to or below these thresholds are not required to file reports with the Department or pay any lottery/raffle tax. A significant number of lotteries and raffles conducted in Nebraska fall within the non-reportable category.

Lottery Gross Proceeds	\$3,307,789
Raffle Gross Proceeds	1,860,691
Total Gross Proceeds	\$5,168,480
Prizes	1,673,615
Expenses	612,544
Total Taxes	103,379
Profit	\$2,778,942



* Actual prizes awarded equaled 42 percent of the total gross proceeds; however, of this 42 percent, 10 percent of the prizes awarded were donated prizes.

COUNTY/CITY LOTTERIES


County/city lottery (keno) continued the rebound started four years ago, showing a 1.47 percent increase over the previous reporting period. Gross wagering at \$179,518,444 represented an increase of over 2.6 million dollars. A large portion of this increased wagering is attributable to those communities which experienced a notable increase in wagering from that reported in the prior year. The largest increases were reported by, in descending order of the dollar amount of their increase, Omaha, Kearney, Hall County, Papillion, Ralston, North Platte, Ashland, Terrytown, Crawford, and Bloomington. A portion of this increase is also due to seven new communities that were licensed to start conducting county/city lottery activity during the reporting period (the villages of Bertrand, Dannebrog, Dorchester, Naponee, North Loup, Odell, and Orchard). Despite this year's repeated positive news, overall county/city lottery wagering is still down 13.97 percent from its peak wagering of \$208,670,897 during the 1995 reporting period.

Communities and lottery operators are continuing to evaluate the performance of their lotteries and some are considering enhancements which may restore part of the handle lost to other forms of gambling. Progressive jackpots, larger prizes, and other game enhancements to attract increased play are more prevalent. Some live ball draw operations are opting for the automated ball draw method of winning number selection or are using a random number generator during their slower times in order to reduce labor costs. There continue to be concerns expressed about the statutory five-minute requirement between games, the prohibition against player activation of keno equipment, and the requirement for a paper ticket.

Nine communities held an election on the establishment of a lottery during the reporting period as compared to two for the same period last year. Eight of the communities approved and one defeated the operation of a lottery within their jurisdiction (see pages 12 and 13 of this report for election status details). The number of communities licensed to conduct a lottery increased to 138 during the reporting period. There were 128 licensed as of the end of the prior fiscal year, although there were seven communities that were inactive. Of the 138 licensed for the current period, it should be noted that eleven communities were not operating their lotteries at the close of this reporting period (see pages 12 and 13 for licensed but inactive lotteries).

Again, there was little change seen this reporting period as compared to last with respect to the distribution of county/city lottery gross proceeds (see pie chart below). The prizes paid increased 0.1 percent from last year while the offsetting 0.1 percent decrease is to the profit for the communities at 8.7 percent.

County/City Lottery Gross Proceeds	\$179,518,444
Prizes	135,904,277
Lottery Operator Commissions	\$22,936,012
Other Expenses	\$ 1,476,186
Total Expenses	24,412,198
Taxes	3,590,369
Profit	\$ 15,611,600



COUNTY/CITY LOTTERY ELECTION AND LICENSING STATUS

as of June 30, 2003

Holding Election	Result of Election	License Issued	Status	Holding Election	Result of Election	License Issued	Status
Ainsworth	Defeated			Dodge	Affirmative		(c)
Albion	Defeated			Dodge County	Affirmative		(b)
Alda	Affirmative		(b)	Doniphan	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Alliance	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Dorchester	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Anselmo	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Douglas County	Affirmative (Part of Omaha)		Active (a)
Arlington	Defeated			Duncan	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (a)
Arthur County	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)	Dwight	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (a)
Ashland	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Eagle	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)
Auburn	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Elkhorn	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Aurora	Defeated			Elm Creek	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Avoca	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Elwood	Affirmative		(b)
Banner County	Affirmative		(b)	Ericson	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Barneston	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Eustis	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Battle Creek	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Exeter	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Bayard	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Fairbury	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Beatrice	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Fairfield	Affirmative		Inactive (a)
Beaver City	Affirmative		(b)	Fairmont	Affirmative		(b)
Beaver Crossing	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Falls City	Defeated		
Bee	Affirmative		(b)	Fillmore County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Beemer	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Fort Calhoun	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Belgrade	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Franklin	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bellevue	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Fremont	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Belvidere	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Fullerton	Affirmative		Inactive (a)
Benedict	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Gage County	Affirmative		(b)
Benkelman	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Geneva	Defeated		
Bennington	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Genoa	Affirmative		(b)
Bertrand	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Gering	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Blair	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Goehner	Affirmative		(b)
Bloomfield	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Gosper County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bloomington	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Grafton	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Blue Hill	Affirmative		(b)	Grant	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Blue Springs	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Greeley	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Brady	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Greenwood	Affirmative		(c)
Brewster	Affirmative		(b)	Gretna	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bridgeport	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Gurley	Affirmative		(b)
Brown County	Defeated			Hadar	Defeated		
Brownville	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Haigler	Affirmative		(b)
Buffalo County	Defeated			Hall County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Burr	Affirmative		(b)	Hardy	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Callaway	Defeated			Harlan County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Cambridge	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)	Hartington	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Cedar Creek	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Hastings	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Central City	Defeated			Hay Springs	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Chadron	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Hebron	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)
Chappell	Affirmative		(b)	Hemingford	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Chase County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Hershey	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Cheyenne County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Hickman	Defeated		
Clarkson	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Hitchcock County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Columbus	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Holbrook	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Cortland	Affirmative		(b)	Holdrege	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Cozad	Defeated			Holstein	Affirmative		(c)
Craig	Affirmative		(b)	Hooper	Affirmative		(b)
Crawford	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Howells	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Creston	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Hubbell	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Crete	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Humboldt	Affirmative		(b)
Dakota City	Affirmative (Part of S. Sioux City)		Active (a)	Humphrey	Affirmative		(b)
Dannebrog	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Imperial	Affirmative		(b)
David City	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Indianola	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Dawson County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Inglewood	Affirmative (Part of Fremont)		Active
Denton	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Kearney	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Deuel County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Keith County	Affirmative	Yes	Active

Holding Election	Result of Election	License Issued	Status	Holding Election	Result of Election	License Issued	Status
Kenesaw	Defeated			Prague	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Kennard	Affirmative		(a)	Ralston	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Kilgore	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)	Randolph	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Kimball	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Ravenna	Affirmative		Inactive (a)
Lancaster County	Affirmative (Part of Lincoln)		Active	Raymond	Affirmative	Yes	Active
LaVista	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Riverton	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)
Lewellen	Affirmative		(b)	Roca	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Lincoln	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Rulo	Affirmative		Inactive (a)
Lincoln County	Affirmative (Part of North Platte)		Active	Rushville	Affirmative		Inactive (a)
Lindsay	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	St. Edward	Affirmative		(a)
Lodgepole	Affirmative	Yes	Active	St. Paul	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Long Pine	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Sargent	Affirmative		(b)
Lorton	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Sarpy County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Louisville	Affirmative		(b)	Schuyler	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Loup City	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Scotts Bluff Co	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Lyman	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Scribner	Affirmative		Inactive (a)
Madison	Defeated			Seward	Defeated		
Madrid	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Seward County	Defeated		
Mason City	Affirmative		(c)	Shelby	Affirmative		(b)
Maywood	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Shelton	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
McCook	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Sidney	Defeated		
McGrew	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Silver Creek	Affirmative		Inactive (a)
Melbeta	Affirmative		(b)	Smithfield	Affirmative		(b)
Memphis	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Snyder	Affirmative		(a)
Merriman	Defeated			South Bend	Affirmative		(b)
Milligan	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)	South Sioux City	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Minatare	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Spalding	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Minden	Defeated			Sprague	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Monroe	Affirmative		(b)	Springfield	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Morrill	Affirmative		(c)	Stanton	Affirmative		(b)
Morse Bluff	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Sterling	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Mullen	Affirmative		(a)	Stuart	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Naponee	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Superior	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Nebraska City	Defeated			Sutherland	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Nehawka	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Sutton	Defeated		
Neligh	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Syracuse	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Niobrara	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Talmage	Affirmative		(b)
Norfolk	Defeated			Tecumseh	Affirmative	Yes	Active
North Bend	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Terrytown	Affirmative	Yes	Active
North Loup	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Trenton	Affirmative	Yes	Active
North Platte	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Unadilla	Affirmative		Inactive (c)
Odell	Affirmative (Part of Beatrice)		Active	Utica	Defeated		
Omaha	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Valentine	Defeated		
O'Neill	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)	Valley	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Ong	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Valley County	Affirmative		(a)
Orchard	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Valparaiso	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Ord	Defeated			Verdigre	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Osceola	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Verdon	Affirmative		(b)
Oshkosh	Affirmative		(c)	Waco	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Osmond	Defeated			Wahoo	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Otoe	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Wallace	Affirmative		(b)
Overton	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Walthill	Affirmative		Inactive (a)
Oxford	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Washington Co	Affirmative		(b)
Palisade	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Waterloo	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Palmer	Defeated			Wauneta	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Palmyra	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Waverly	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Papillion	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Wayne	Affirmative		(a)
Pawnee City	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	West Point	Defeated		
Peru	Affirmative		(b)	Wilber	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Platte Center	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Wisner	Defeated		
Plattsmouth	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Wolbach	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Pleasant Dale	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Wymore	Affirmative		(c)
Polk	Defeated			Wynot	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Potter	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Yutan	Affirmative		Inactive (c)

(a) Election valid anytime

(b) Election expired

(c) Election subject to expiration

LOTTERIES LICENSED BY COUNTY

as of June 30, 2003

Adams City of Hastings Antelope City of Neligh Village of Orchard Arthur Arthur County* Box Butte City of Alliance Village of Hemingford Brown City of Long Pine Buffalo Village of Elm Creek City of Kearney Butler City of David City Village of Dwight* Cass Village of Eagle* City of Plattsmouth Cedar City of Randolph Village of Wynot Chase Chase County Village of Wauneta Cherry Village of Kilgore* Cheyenne Cheyenne County Village of Lodgepole Village of Potter Colfax City of Clarkson Village of Howells City of Schuyler Cuming Village of Beemer Dakota City of Dakota City City of South Sioux City Dawes City of Chadron City of Crawford Dawson Dawson County Deuel Deuel County	Dodge City of Fremont Village of Inglewood City of North Bend Douglas City of Bennington Douglas County City of Elkhorn City of Omaha City of Ralston City of Valley Village of Waterloo Fillmore Fillmore County Village of Grafton Village of Milligan* Franklin Village of Bloomington City of Franklin Village of Naponee Village of Riverton* Frontier Village of Eustis Furnas City of Cambridge* Village of Holbrook Village of Oxford Gage Village of Barneston City of Beatrice City of Blue Springs Village of Odell Gosper Gosper County Greeley Village of Greeley Village of Spalding Village of Wolbach Hall Village of Doniphan Hall County Harlan Harlan County Hitchcock Hitchcock County Village of Palisade Village of Trenton	Holt City of O'Neill* Village of Stuart Howard Village of Dannebrog City of St. Paul Jefferson City of Fairbury Johnson City of Tecumseh Keith Keith County Kimball City of Kimball Knox City of Bloomfield Village of Niobrara Village of Verdigre Lancaster Village of Denton Lancaster County City of Lincoln Village of Raymond Village of Roca Village of Sprague City of Waverly Lincoln Village of Brady Village of Hershey Lincoln County City of North Platte Village of Sutherland Madison City of Battle Creek Morrill City of Bayard Nemaha City of Auburn Nuckolls Village of Hardy City of Superior Otoe Village of Lorton Village of Palmyra City of Syracuse Perkins City of Grant Village of Madrid Phelps Village of Bertrand City of Holdrege	Platte City of Columbus Village of Duncan* Village of Lindsay Polk City of Osceola Red Willow City of Indianola Saline City of Crete Village of Dorchester City of Wilber Sarpy City of Bellevue City of Gretna City of LaVista City of Papillion Sarpy County City of Springfield Saunders City of Ashland Village of Memphis Village of Morse Bluff Village of Prague Village of Valpariso City of Wahoo Scotts Bluff City of Gering Village of Lyman Village of McGrew Scotts Bluff County Village of Terrytown Sheridan City of Hay Springs Sherman City of Loup City Thayer Village of Belvidere City of Hebron* Village of Hubbell Valley Village of North Loup Washington City of Blair City of Fort Calhoun Wheeler Village of Bartlett Village of Ericson York Village of Benedict Village of Waco
--	---	--	---

* Licensed but inactive